

kblaine [REDACTED]

To:

Attn: Director, Legislative and Legal Services

Without Prejudice

## BYLAW 36-2022 OBJECTION

Sir/Madam,

I reiterate my initial objections first heard of in May 2022 to this proposed rezoning and include the following caveats:

1. The initial rezoning request was for:

a. A single residence.

and

b. A smaller section of land.

This was confirmed by a member of your staff by telephone as was accepted and noted by him being included in my initial objection.

Now from your letter dated June 13th, (File: 2022A013), we see that this rezoning request now subtends an entire 96.61 Acres and proposes Residential Rezoning.

This action (sneaking in an innocuous proposal then upping the ante surreptitiously) is akin to "moving the goalposts mid-match" which is morally wrong and completely unethical. It may even qualify as illegal.

However,

My initial objections stand and are included as;

1. Rezoning this lot compromises Air Navigation Safety Rules for Aircraft Operating in the vicinity of Twin Island Airpark Airport, a still ACTIVE airfield, its Runways including Climbout and Descent Lanes and General Air Traffic Zones.

Transport Canada will surely be willing to add cogent adverse comments and direction to this proposal.

2. Development of this 96.61 acres will likely risk local groundwater and wellwater quality. Existing residences are likely supplied by wellwater. Mine is.

3. Local wildlife will be adversely affected by having the local habitat disturbed. Twin Island Lake is home to thousands of local and migratory wildlife.

4. Residential construction and ongoing noise pollution, then and after, will disturb local peace and quiet. (An entitlement of Canadians mentioned as entitlement in the Charter of Rights and Freedoms.)

5. There is insufficient access by road to this mass rezoning area insofar as to suggest that major roadworks and infrastructure will be required beforehand.

6. A rezoning of this magnitude will and MUST REQUIRE a comprehensive and exhaustive ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT to be conducted, analysed, discussed , published and approved by public, local and Federal Ministries BEFORE ANYTHING GOES AHEAD AT COUNTY LEVEL.

Therefore I foresee, should you approve this rezoning, that possible litigation suits against the County mightwell ensue for the reasons noted above.

Strathcona County NEEDS really to think this through thoroughly before proceeding further.

Transport Canada will be advised of this proposed rezoning.

Thank you.

This is my objection, comment and message.

I would appreciate written acknowledgement by receipt in kind.

Kevin Blaine



**From:** kblaine [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2022 1:39 PM  
**To:** Legislative Officer <[LegislativeOfficer@strathcona.ca](mailto:LegislativeOfficer@strathcona.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: BYLAW 36-2022 OBJECTION

Thank you for the information.

I have today, initiated a telephone conversation with NAV CANADA on the topic of Air Safety and this Rezoning Proposal.

As a followup to this telephone discussion, I shall scan and send NAV CANADA the documentation that your office sent me ( File: 2022A013) dated June 13th should they require it.

I fervently hope that residents of The Twin Island Park Airport will have received that same Proposal Documentation (June 13th) as I.

Thank you.

Kevin Blaine  
[REDACTED]