

Councillor Request Report

May 10, 2016

| # | Elected Official Name | Subject | Req type | Meeting date | Due date | Resp Dept | 2nd Dept | Request | Reponse date | Reponse | Status |
|-----|-----------------------|--|-------------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| 28 | CARR Roxanne | Alberta Community Partnership Program | Information | 11/03/2014 | 3/21/2014 | CPIA | | <p>Please provide a report on actions taken by Administration to create applications to the Alberta Community Partnership Program at the May 13, 2014 Priorities Committee Meeting.</p> <p>Further dialogue will be required regarding this request. To be discussed at the June 17th Priorities Committee meeting when the request for Community Group Collaboration Fund (Councillor Smith) is discussed.</p> | | | Outstanding |
| 33 | SMITH Paul | Community Group Collaboration Fund | Program | 22/04/2014 | 5/13/2014 | RPC | FCS | <p>Create parameters and budget for a fund that would facilitate and enable community organizations to work together for success and viability. The outcome would be a system that would enable joint initiatives with access to funds, facilities, expertise and training. This request has been directed to Community Services Division- FCS & RPC</p> <p>Please bring this program request back for discussion to the June 17, 2014 Priorities Committee Meeting. (The request was to be brought forward to the May 13, 2014 PCM however Councillor Smith will not be in attendance for the May 13, 2014 PCM)</p> | | | In Progress |
| 35 | BIDZINSKI Victor | Community Halls Renovation/ Replacement Plan | Information | 06/05/2014 | 5/16/2014 | FAS | | <p>Provide information on ways we could augment the costs that will be associated with the renovation/replacement of Strathcona County's Community Halls in the future. (Was stated that 19 million dollars will be required)</p> | | | Outstanding |
| 97 | BOTTERILL Brian | Traffic Requirements for Developers | Information | 02/02/2016 | 02/12/2016 | PDS | | <p>Please provide information regarding traffic requirements for developers and when the requirement will be reviewed next considering the upcoming potential growth within our community.</p> | 04/27/2016 | <p>Developers are required to provide a traffic impact analysis (TIA) as part of an Area Structure Plan (ASP) application. The TIA is prepared by an accredited professional engineer and identifies traffic requirements based on the development concept proposed in the ASP and in accordance with Strathcona County Design and Construction Standards. Updated TIA's are required at the subdivision, rezoning or Development Permit stage depending on the use or uses proposed for a specific site or area.</p> <p>In conjunction with the preparation of the Area Concept Plan (ACP) for the Urban Reserve (Bremner) area, transportation standards will be reviewed and appropriate updates will be proposed to ensure the concept of complete streets, as indicated in the Growth Management Strategy, is achieved.</p> | Complete |
| 102 | BIDZINSKI Victor | Property Line / Sightline Fencing | Information | 04/05/2016 | 04/19/2016 | PDS | | <p>Please provide information regarding how required sightlines are determined for fencing, beyond the requirements in the Land Use Bylaw.</p> | | | Outstanding |

| # | Elected Official Name | Subject | Req type | Meeting date | Due date | Resp Dept | 2nd Dept | Request | Reponse date | Reponse | Status |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------|--|--------------|---|----------|
| 103 | DELAINEY Linton | Bremner Area Concept Plan | Information | 04/19/2016 | 05/03/2016 | PDS | | 1. When will planning for the Area Concept Plan for the Bremner Growth Node endorsed by Council on March 22, 2016 begin? 2. Who will be doing the planning for the Bremner Area Concept Plan? Will it be County staff, developers or hired consultants? 3. What is the estimated cost for a Bremner Area Concept Plan? 4. Who will be paying for the Bremner Area Concept Plan? Will it be developers or tax payers? 5. Does the County have a commitment from Alberta Transportation to build the overpass and interchange structures into Bremner? i. How many are required and at what locations would they be constructed? ii. What are the estimated costs to build them? iii. Who will pay for them? Will it be Alberta Transportation, developers or tax payers? | | 1. Planning and Development will be bringing forward the Bremner ACP timeline to Priorities Committee June 14, 2016. An RFP will be drafted over the summer and posted in early fall 2016. 2. A consultant will be retained through an RFP process and PDS administration will manage the project. 3. Approximately \$380,000 has been allocated to complete the ACP (includes the corresponding engineering studies). 4. Council approved funding of the ACP through the 2016 budget process. This item was identified in the 2016 budget process as consulting for Colchester/Bremner ACP (as an area had not yet been chosen). Area Concept Plans are prepared by the County and funded by the County. Area Structure Plans are subsequently funded by Developers. 5. As noted in the March 8, 2016 Priorities Committee report, a series of interchanges and flyovers have been identified to connect Bremner to the surrounding road network. The spacing of the interchanges is consistent with the spacing of interchanges in other urban areas of the Capital Region however further discussions and ultimate approval by Alberta Transportation will be required prior to the Area Concept Plan. It has been assumed at this time that AT will support the proposed spacing. i. As per both the March 8th and March 22nd, 2016 comparison Matrix, there are 3 (three) service interchanges, 1 (one) systems interchange, and 2 highway overpasses (flyovers) as shown on Appendix A of the Comparison Matrix. ii. As per the both the March 8th and March 22nd comparison Matrix, the estimated cost are: 3 (three) service interchanges = \$205 million 1 (one) systems interchange = \$175 million 2 (two) highway overpasses = \$50 million iii. As noted in both the March 8, 2016 Priorities Committee report and the March 22nd Council Report, the final FIA assumed that developers would front end all hard infrastructure. A feasibility analysis will be required at the ACP stage to review the reasonability of this assumption including alternative funding scenarios and their impacts (ie provincial funding, etc.). At this time, the assumption is that overpasses and flyovers will be paid for by developers. | Complete |
| 104 | HOWATT Carla | Sustainability Frameworks Update | Information | 04/26/2016 | 05/10/2016 | CPIA | | Can you please provide information on when the 3 sustainability frameworks (Economic, Environmental, Social) will be updated to align with our current strategic plan. | | | |